

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/584,845	03/07/2007	David Tobler	128507	3478
25944 7590 03/02/2009 OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 320850			EXAMINER	
			DOLLINGER, MICHAEL M	
ALEXANDRI	A, VA 22320-4850		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/02/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/584.845 TOBLER, DAVID Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit MICHAEL DOLLINGER 1796 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status Responsive to communication(s) filed on ___ 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-31 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Fatent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/584,845 Page 2

Art Unit: 1796

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-22, drawn to a composition comprising a reactive compound A and a polymeric thixotropic agent B.

Group II, claim(s) 23, drawn to a process for preparing a composition comprising a reactive compound A and a polymeric thixotropic agent B comprising mixing.

Group III, claim(s) 24-26, drawn to a process for preparing a composition comprising a reactive compound A and a polymeric thixotropic agent B comprising *in situ* polymerization of B.

Group IV, claim(s) 27 and 28, drawn to a process for enhancing thixotropic properties of a composition.

Group V, claim(s) 29, drawn to a process of adhering, sealing, coating or covering an object comprising applying a composition comprising a reactive compound A and a polymeric thixotropic agent B.

Group VI, claim(s) 30, drawn to an article in contact with a composition comprising a reactive compound A and a polymeric thixotropic agent B.

Group VII, claim(s) 31, drawn to an article in contact with a moisture-hardened composition comprising a reactive compound A and a polymeric thixotropic agent B.

 The inventions listed as Groups I-VII do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the common Application/Control Number: 10/584,845

Art Unit: 1796

technical feature is not special because it is disclosed in the prior art. The common technical feature of all the claims is a compound B prepared by homopolymerizing a (meth)acrylate B1 or copolymerizing B1 with at least one further (meth)acrylate, the (meth)acrylate mixture having an average functionality of 2.5 to 4.5 wherein B1 has three or more (meth)acrylate groups. This common technical feature is disclosed in the prior art: Sugiyama et al (WO 02/48228 A2), cited in the International Search Report, disclose curable polyurethane composition with a photocurable additive material selected from a group including unsaturated acrylic compounds [abstract]. The photocurable material used in the inventive examples was TMPMA (trimethylolpropane trimethacrylate) [page 16 lines 3-4; Tables 1 and 2]. Henceforth, the common technical feature of the invention is not special and the claims lack unity of invention and are properly restrictable.

3. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Applicant must choose one type of functional group for the compound A between:

- i) isocyanate,
- ii) epoxide,
 - If ii) epoxide is chosen, Applicant must choose a species of compound A between:

Application/Control Number: 10/584,845 Page 4

Art Unit: 1796

a) the compound of claim 2 or

b) the compound of claim 4

iii) alkoxysilane,

If iii) alkoxysilane is chosen, Applicant must choose a species of

compound A between:

a) the compound of claims 2 and 5,

b) the compound of claim 3, or

c) the compound of claim 6

or,

iv) mixtures thereof

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

4. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Species i corresponds to claim 9,

Application/Control Number: 10/584,845

Art Unit: 1796

Species iia corresponds to claims 2 and 10-12 Species iiia corresponds to claims 2, 5, 8 and 10-12

Species iila corresponds to claims 2, 5, 8 and 10-1 Species iib corresponds to claim 4

Species iib corresponds to claim 4
Species iiib corresponds to claim 3

Species iiic corresponds to claims 6 and 7

Species iv corresponds to claims 2 and 10-12

The following claim(s) are generic: 1 and 13-31.

 A telephone call was not made to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, because of the complexity of the restriction and species election.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

6. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.
Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.
All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process Application/Control Number: 10/584,845

Art Unit: 1796

claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MICHAEL DOLLINGER whose telephone number is (571)270-5464. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 7:30AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski can be reached on 571-272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1796

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Randy Gulakowski/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1796 MICHAEL DOLLINGER Examiner Art Unit 1796

/mmd/